

Docket No.: 06005/37770  
(PATENT)

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In re Letters Patent of:  
John P. Dilger

Patent No.: 6,879,936

Issued: April 12, 2005

For: DIAGNOSTIC APPARATUS AND METHODS  
FOR A CHEMICAL DETECTION SYSTEM

**REQUEST FOR CERTIFICATE OF CORRECTION  
PURSUANT TO 37 CFR 1.323**

Attention: Certificate of Correction Branch  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

Upon reviewing the above-identified patent, Patentee noted typographical errors which should be corrected.

In the Application		In the Patent		Error
<u>In the Drawings filed January 9, 2002:</u>				
Sheet	Figure	Sheet	Figure	
1	1A	1	1A	Applicant
2	1B	2	1B	Applicant
3	1C	3	1C	Applicant
4	2A	4	2A	Applicant
5	2B	5	2B	Applicant
6	3A	6	3A	Applicant
7	3B	7	3B	Applicant
9	4B	9	4B	Applicant
11	5B	11	5B	Applicant
12	6A	12	6A	Applicant
13	6B	13	6B	Applicant

In the Specification filed January 9, 2002:					
Page	Line(s)		Column	Line(s)	
6	30		4	64	Applicant
10	27		7	44	Applicant
15	20		10	62	Applicant
15	28-29		11	7	Applicant
15	32		11	13	Applicant
15	33		11	14	Applicant
In the Claims:					
Amendment	Claim	Line	Column	Line(s)	
October 25, 2004 Page 3	7	6	11	65	Applicant
October 25, 2004 Page 3	7	9-10	12	3	Applicant
October 25, 2004 Page 3	7	11	12	5	Applicant
October 25, 2004 Page 3	7	12	12	6-7	Applicant
October 25, 2004 Page 4	9	5	12	14-15	Applicant
October 25, 2004 Page 4	9	6-7	12	17	Applicant
October 25, 2004 Page 4	10	1-2	12	18-19	Applicant
October 25, 2004 Page 4	11	1-2	12	21-22	Applicant
October 25, 2004 Page 4	11	7	12	30	Applicant
October 25, 2004 Page 4	11	8-9	12	31-32	Applicant
October 25, 2004 Page 5	13	1	12	36-37	Applicant
October 25, 2004 Page 5	14	4	12	45	Applicant
October 25, 2004 Page 5	14	5	12	46-47	Applicant
October 25, 2004 Page 6	22	6	12	54	Applicant
October 25, 2004 Page 6	22	16	13	3	Applicant
October 25, 2004 Page 6	22	17	13	5-6	Applicant
October 25, 2004 Page 7	23	6	13	13	Applicant
October 25, 2004 Page 7	23	9-10	13	18	Applicant

October 25, 2004 Page 7	23	10-11	13	19-20	Applicant
October 25, 2004 Page 7	23	17-18	13	30-32	Applicant
October 25, 2004 Page 8	25	6	13	41	Applicant
October 25, 2004 Page 8	25	14-15	14	1-4	Applicant
October 25, 2004 Page 9	26	6	14	12	Applicant
October 25, 2004 Page 9	26	10-11	14	20	Applicant
October 25, 2004 Page 9	26	12	14	22	Applicant
October 25, 2004 Page 9	26	13	14	24	Applicant
October 25, 2004 Page 9	26	14	14	25	Applicant
October 25, 2004 Page 10	27	6	14	35	Applicant
October 25, 2004 Page 10	27	12	14	44-45	Applicant
October 25, 2004 Page 10	27	13	14	46-47	Applicant
October 25, 2004 Page 10	27	14	14	48-49	Applicant
October 25, 2004 Page 10	27	15	14	50	Applicant

The errors were found in the application as filed by Applicant. Accordingly, a payment in the amount of \$100.00 covering the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.20(a) is submitted herewith. The errors now sought to be corrected are inadvertent typographical errors the correction of which does not involve new matter or require reexamination.

Transmitted herewith is a proposed Certificate of Correction effecting such amendment. Patentee respectfully solicits the granting of the requested Certificate of Correction.


Patent No.: 6,879,936

Docket No.: 06005/37770

The Director is hereby authorized to charge any deficiency in the fees filed, asserted to be filed or which should have been filed herewith (or with any paper hereafter filed with respect to the above-identified patent) to our Deposit Account No. 13-2855, under Order No. 06005/37770.

Dated: November 15, 2010

Respectfully submitted,

By 

Laurence B. Dietrick

Registration No.: 65,479

MARSHALL, GERSTEIN & BORUN LLP

233 S. Wacker Drive

6300 Willis Tower

Chicago, Illinois 60606-6357

(312) 474-6300

Attorney for Applicant

**UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE  
CERTIFICATE OF CORRECTION**

Page 1 of 3

PATENT NO. : 6,879,936  
APPLICATION NO. : 10/042,979  
ISSUE DATE : April 12, 2005  
INVENTOR(S) : John P. Dilger

It is certified that an error appears or errors appear in the above-identified patent and that said Letters Patent is hereby corrected as shown below:

In the Drawings:

Replace Sheet 1, Figure 1A with the version attached hereto;  
Replace Sheet 2, Figure 1B with the version attached hereto;  
Replace Sheet 3, Figure 1C with the version attached hereto;  
Replace Sheet 4, Figure 2A with the version attached hereto;  
Replace Sheet 5, Figure 2B with the version attached hereto;  
Replace Sheet 6, Figure 3A with the version attached hereto;  
Replace Sheet 7, Figure 3B with the version attached hereto;  
Replace Sheet 9, Figure 4B with the version attached hereto;  
Replace Sheet 11, Figure 5B with the version attached hereto;  
Replace Sheet 12, Figure 6A with the version attached hereto;  
Replace Sheet 13, Figure 6B with the version attached hereto.

In the Specification:

At column 4, line 64, "the ejector 114" should be --the ejector 140--;  
At column 7, line 44, "proscribed" should be --prescribed--;  
At column 10, line 62, "atmospheric sample valve 130" should be --emission sample valve 130--;  
At column 11, line 7, "atmospheric sample valve 130" should be --emission sample valve 130--;  
At column 11, line 13, "diagnostic memory 408." should be --diagnostic memory 408, step S609.--;  
At column 11, line 14, "detected, step S609," should be --detected,--.

MAILING ADDRESS OF SENDER (Please do not use customer number below):

Laurence B. Dietrick  
MARSHALL, GERSTEIN & BORUN LLP  
233 S. Wacker Drive  
6300 Willis Tower  
Chicago, Illinois 60606-6357

**UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE  
CERTIFICATE OF CORRECTION**

Page 2 of 3

In the Claims:

In Claim 6, at column 11, line 65, "measuring the response of a chemical sensor" should be --measuring the response of the chemical sensor--;

In Claim 6, at column 12, line 3, "diagnostic routines includes confirming" should be --diagnostic routines include confirming--;

In Claim 6, at column 12, line 5, "substantial amount of an emission" should be --substantial amount of the emission--;

In Claim 6, at column 12, lines 6-7, "the diagnostic routine further validating" should be --the diagnostic routines further validating--;

In Claim 7, at column 12, lines 14-15, "without exposure to an emission," should be --without exposure to the emission--;

In Claim 7, at column 12, line 17, "without exposure to an emission," should be --without exposure to the emission--;

In Claim 8, at column 12, lines 18-19, "diagnostic routine includes quantifying" should be --diagnostic routines include quantifying--;

In Claim 9, at column 12, lines 21-22, "diagnostic routine further includes computing" should be --diagnostic routines further include computing--;

In Claim 9, at column 12, line 30, "without exposure to an emission" should be --without exposure to the emission--;

In Claim 9, at column 12, lines 31-32, "computed value under static flow conditions with exposure to an emission." should be --computed under static flow conditions with exposure to the emission.--;

In Claim 11, at column 12, lines 36-37, "wherein quantifying chemical sensor" should be --wherein quantifying the chemical sensor--;

In Claim 12, at column 12, line 45, "value stored in memory" should be --value stored in the memory device--;

In Claim 12, at column 12, lines 46-47, "conditions without exposure to an emission." should be --conditions without exposure to the emission.--;

In Claim 13, at column 12, line 54, "response of a chemical sensor" should be --response of the chemical sensor--;

In Claim 13, at column 13, line 3, "substantial exposure to an emission," should be --substantial exposure to the emission.--;

In Claim 13, at column 13, lines 5-6, "substantial exposure to an emission." should be --substantial exposure to the emission.--;

In Claim 14, at column 13, line 13, "response of a chemical sensor" should be --response of the chemical sensor--;

In Claim 14, at column 13, line 18, "diagnostic routine includes quantifying" should be --diagnostic routines include quantifying--;

In Claim 14, at column 13, lines 19-20, "diagnostic routine further including" should be --diagnostic routines further including--;

In Claim 14, at column 13, lines 30-32, "computed value under static flow conditions with exposure to an emission." should be --computed under static flow conditions with exposure to the emission--;

MAILING ADDRESS OF SENDER (Please do not use customer number below):

Laurence B. Dietrick  
MARSHALL, GERSTEIN & BORUN LLP  
233 S. Wacker Drive  
6300 Willis Tower  
Chicago, Illinois 60606-6357

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE CERTIFICATE OF CORRECTION

Page 3 of 3

In Claim 15, at column 13, line 41, "response of a chemical sensor" should be --response of the chemical sensor--;

In Claim 15, at column 14, lines 1-4, "to the emission, wherein the configuration sensor response value is computed under static flow conditions prior to exposure to the emission." should be --to the emissions, wherein the configuration sensor response is computed under static flow conditions prior to exposure to the emissions.--

In Claim 16, at column 14, line 12, "response of a chemical sensor" should be --response of the chemical sensor--;

In Claim 16, at column 14, line 20, "stored in the memory device," should be --stored in a memory device,--;

In Claim 16, at column 14, line 22, "exposure to the emission and" should be --exposure to the emissions and --;

In Claim 16, at column 14, line 24, "exposure to the emission;" should be --exposure to the emissions;--;

In Claim 16, at column 14, line 25, "storing response data in a memory device;" should be --storing response data in the memory device;--;

In Claim 17, at column 14, line 35, "response of a chemical sensor" should be --response of the chemical sensor--;

In Claim 17, at column 14, lines 44-45, "value stored in memory," should be --value stored in a memory device,--;

In Claim 17, at column 14, lines 46-47, "without exposure to an emission" should be --without exposure to the emissions--;

In Claim 17, at column 14, lines 48-49, "computed value under static flow conditions prior to exposure to an emission" should be --computed under static flow conditions prior to exposure to the emission--;

In Claim 17, at column 14, line 50, "storing response data in a memory device;" should be --storing response data in the memory device;--.

MAILING ADDRESS OF SENDER (Please do not use customer number below):

Laurence B. Dietrick  
MARSHALL, GERSTEIN & BORUN LLP  
233 S. Wacker Drive  
6300 Willis Tower  
Chicago, Illinois 60606-6357

3